



ACIP Working Group on Vaccines during Pregnancy and Breastfeeding

Kathy Neuzil - ACIP Lead Stephanie Schrag - CDC Lead Tami Skoff - CDC

SAFER • HEALTHIER • PEOPLE™





Terms of Reference

- Review current recommendations on use of vaccines during pregnancy and breastfeeding
- 2. Establish guiding principles for decisionmaking regarding recommendations
- 3. Facilitate resolution of inconsistencies in recommendations issued by ACIP, and harmonization across professional organizations



Workgroup Membership



- Carol Baker ACIP
- Tracy Lieu ACIP
- Kathy Neuzil- ACIP lead
- Doug Campos-Outcalt AAFP
- Stanley Gall ACOG
- Kevin Ault ACOG
- Marion Gruber FDA
- David Kimberlin AAP
- Stephanie Schrag CDC lead
- George Carlone Tdap
- Jan Cragan Birth defects
- Tony Fiore Influenza
- Julianne Gee Vaccine safety

- Diane Hoffman Law
- John Iskander

 Vaccine safety
- Megan Lindley Evidence-based workgroup
- Lauri Markowitz HPV
- Nancy Rosenstein Messonnier Tdap
- Gina Mootrey Adult schedule
- Susan Reef Rubella
- Fran Rubin NIH
- Judy Schmidt CDC
- Barbara Slade Pertussis
- Tami Skoff CDC
- Sandy Steiner CDC
- Susan Wang Hepatitis B

Laying the groundwork: Proview of existing recommendations

- In person meeting to introduce workgroup members, review workgroup charge, and discuss timeline for activities (Feb. '07)
- Monthly workgroup conference calls to review existing recommendations:
 - ACIP recommendations (Mar. '07)
 - FDA vaccination indications and vaccine labeling language (Apr. '07)
 - Recommendations of key professional organizations:
 AAP, ACOG, and AAFP (May '07)





ACIP Recommendations (March 28th, 2007 conference call)



ACIP: General statements on vaccines and pregnancy



- Risk to a developing fetus from vaccination of the mother during pregnancy is primarily theoretical
- No evidence exists of risk from vaccinating pregnant women with inactivated virus, bacterial vaccines or toxoids

General Recommendations on Immunization: recommendations of ACIP. MMWR 2006; 55 (RR-15)



ACIP: Guiding Principle?



 Benefits of vaccinating pregnant women usually outweigh potential risks when the likelihood of disease exposure is high, infection would pose a risk to the mother or fetus, and vaccine is unlikely to cause harm

General Recommendations on Immunization: recommendations of ACIP. MMWR 2006; 55 (RR-15)

SAFER · HEALTHIER · PEOPLE™



Variation in ACIP Recommendations



- 1. Recommended for all pregnant women
 - Influenza
- 2. Follow routine adult immunization recommendations
 - Hepatitis B, Td, Meningococcal (MPSV4)
 - Pneumococcal (PPV23), Meningococcal (MCV4), Typhoid unclear if these follow routine recommendations
- 3. Recommended only under special circumstances (e.g., exposure risk is high)
 - Hepatitis A, Polio (IPV), Anthrax, inactivated Japanese Encephalitis, Rabies, Smallpox, Yellow Fever, Tdap
- 4. Not recommended for pregnant women; unclear whether not special circumstances exist
 - LAIV, MMR, Varicella, Zoster, BCG, HPV



ACIP: General themes as viewed by workgroup



- ACIP recommendations often challenging to interpret;
 similar recommendations may use very different language
- Inconsistent message about the role of limited safety and/or efficacy data; data cited as a reason to recommend vaccination for some products and as a reason to avoid vaccination for others
- Majority of vaccines recommended if benefits of vaccination outweigh individual's risk; large burden placed on medical providers and pregnant women
- General statement indicating the safety of vaccination during breastfeeding





Food and Drug Administration (FDA) Vaccine Indications (April 25th, 2007 conference call)

FDA Pregnancy Categories

- Regulation requires that all vaccines be classified under one of five pregnancy categories (A, B, C, D, or X)
- All but two currently licensed vaccines are category C (due to lack of data)
 - HPV (category B)
 - Anthrax (category D)
- Pregnancy categories have led to confusion; FDA is in the process of revision



FDA: General themes as viewed by workgroup



- Vaccine companies write the labeling and FDA reviews;
 FDA does not own or author vaccine labeling
- Labeling constrained by 21 CFR 201.56; no implied claims of product use may be made if inadequate evidence of safety or lack of substantial evidence of effectiveness
- Language on vaccine labeling tends to be more conservative than ACIP recommendations
- More caution in breastfeeding statements on vaccine labeling than in ACIP statements





Recommendations of Key Professional Organizations (May 23rd, 2007 conference call)

American Academy of Family Physicians (AAFP)

American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG)

American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP)

Key Professional Organizations: General Themes

- Recommendations of professional organizations are generally harmonized with ACIP; however, interpretation often varies
- Although overall harmonization exists, translation of recommendations is a major challenge; may result in altered content of recommendations
- Biggest difference in recommendations seen for Tdap; recommended for pregnant women by AAP; ACOG has not yet made a formal statement







- Lunch meeting on June 28th
- Begin to consider guiding principles for recommendations for vaccines during pregnancy and breastfeeding